

Helpful Information for Candidates

Making Good Choices and *Understanding Rubric Level Progressions* are extremely helpful documents available on D2L under COE edTPA community using the Elementary Education link.

Pearson phone numbers for candidates only

413-256-2889 and/or 866-565-4872

EST 9-8 Monday -Friday

Tutors are available in the library to help with writing and clarity of commentaries. They may not advise on content.

Jessie Miller J-miller30@neiu.edu

Christine Swanson C-swanson1@neiu.edu

Katherine Gleiss K-gleiss@neiu.edu

Fall 2018 edTPA Work Days

Monday, Oct. 8, 2018

Friday, October 26, 2018

edTPA Submission Day

November 2, 2018

Scores will be returned November 29.

edTPA Guidelines for Acceptable Candidate Support Revised September 2016

edTPA is a summative, subject-specific portfolio-based assessment of teaching performance, completed during a preparation program within a clinical field experience. edTPA is designed to assess a teaching candidate's readiness to teach. This document outlines guidelines for supporting candidates during their completion of edTPA. These guidelines apply to all edTPA support – from faculty, supervisors, cooperating teachers, peers, and other support providers (e.g., a writing center or tutor).

Consistent with research on student learning,¹ programs are encouraged to help candidates examine expectations for performance evaluated by edTPA in meaningful ways and discuss how they will demonstrate their performance in relation to those expectations. Given the placement of edTPA within an educational program, professional conversations about teaching and learning associated with the outcomes assessed in edTPA are expected and encouraged. Faculty, supervisors and cooperating teachers may take time to examine the language, structure and progression of the edTPA rubrics during formative experiences throughout the program. For example, one highly effective way to clarify what edTPA requires AND prepare candidates to teach well is to closely examine the rubrics.

Throughout an educational preparation program, candidates receive a variety of support during their coursework and fieldwork that aligns with assessed elements of edTPA. For example, methods courses often include assignments related to curriculum and lesson design, and educational psychology courses likely include assignments related to analyzing student assessment data: both courses could help support the candidate's thinking about how to design lessons and understand assessment in relationship to the expectations identified in edTPA. During field experiences, candidates are trying out what they have learned, and they receive feedback on their performance from supervisors, cooperating teachers, and other support providers. These activities and formative experiences provide opportunities for candidates to "practice the activities of edTPA" and to synthesize their learning from the program. In addition, instructors typically provide feedback to candidates relative to the teaching standards of their field and any state standards for teaching performance addressed within the coursework and assignments. Preparation for edTPA offers many collegial opportunities for candidates to share and discuss their experiences as well as to share and discuss responses to practice activities.

Although many program activities and experiences provide acceptable forms of support for candidates within the edTPA process, other activities are not acceptable within a summative assessment process that is intended to determine whether each candidate individually demonstrates mastery of state/program standards and should be recommended for an initial license. This document clarifies what are acceptable forms of support for candidates during the edTPA process and what are unacceptable forms of support. This support could be provided by faculty, cooperating teachers and other support providers, or by peers. This version replaces earlier versions posted at <http://edtpa.aacte.org/> and <https://www.edtpa.com/>.

¹ Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. *Phi Delta Kappan*. Retrieved from <https://www.measuredprogress.org/documents/10157/15653/InsideBlackBox.pdf>

Acceptable Forms of Support for Candidates within the edTPA Process

Acceptable Support Prior to Beginning Official edTPA Drafts Includes:

- Providing candidates with access to handbooks and other explanatory materials about edTPA and expectations for candidate performance on the assessment
- Explaining edTPA tasks and scoring rubrics and guiding discussions about them
- Providing and discussing support documents such as *Making Good Choices* and *Understanding Rubric Level Progressions*
- Examining lessons or examples of effective teaching using edTPA rubrics or support documents
- Discussing samples of previously completed edTPA portfolio materials (where appropriate permissions have been granted)
- Engaging candidates in formative experiences aligned with edTPA (e.g., assignments analyzing their instruction, developing curriculum units, or assessing student work)
- Referring students to a writing center for assistance in improving their writing for course assignments
- Recommending and/or providing specific assistance to improve performance in areas in which the candidate has demonstrated a weakness, e.g., analytic writing, designing lessons, engaging students
- Explaining scoring rubrics, and using these rubrics in formative exercises or assignments²
- Relating expectations in edTPA tasks and scoring rubrics to earlier assignments or experiences in the program
- Using rubric constructs or rubric language to evaluate and debrief observations made by field supervisors or cooperating teachers as part of the clinical supervision process
- Offering candidate seminars focusing on the skills and abilities identified in edTPA, such as an Academic Language seminar

Acceptable Support During edTPA Drafting Process (including Planning) Includes:

- Providing a schedule/timeline for completion of sections of the edTPA submission
- Co-planning a learning segment with a cooperating teacher or a peer, as long as each candidate provides his/her own justification for planning decisions and analyses of the teaching and student learning in the commentaries
- Answering common questions in a group setting
- Candidates with a documented disability are eligible to receive relevant accommodations they have received for coursework and program assessments OR to apply to Pearson for approval of accommodations.
 - Not all accommodations are relevant, e.g., additional time (since the candidate can adjust the submission date) or accommodations related to the knowledge and skills being

² Note: If candidates, peers, or instructors use rubrics in formative exercises or assignments, they must be aware that such local scoring cannot be assumed to be comparable to the scoring conducted by trained evaluators who have met calibrated scoring standards.

edTPA

measured.

- For applying directly to Pearson for accommodations, click [here](#).
- In addition, candidates who routinely receive support from a writing center or writing tutor may also receive support while drafting edTPA submission materials, but support must not include direct edits or advice related to the content of the submission.
- Providing access to translations of instructional materials in languages other than English
- Providing a graphic organizer or checklists to record evidence needed for an edTPA task
- Paraphrasing or answering questions about the content of a handbook prompt, rubric, direction, or support document such as Making Good Choices to clarify what the prompt or direction is requesting
- Asking probing questions about candidates' draft edTPA responses or videorecordings, without providing direct edits of the candidate's writing or providing candidates with specific answers to edTPA prompts. Examples of acceptable probing questions are:
 - Have you compared your responses to the prompts to be sure that every part of the prompt has been addressed?
 - Have you provided sufficient context information so that scorers will understand how you have matched specific strategies and actions to your students?
 - (If candidate asks for advice in justifying decisions through research or theory) What have you learned in your coursework or reading about research or theories that make you think that these strategies would be successful?
- Providing references to relevant articles or sections of a text to address questions about effective teaching strategies
- In contexts where a candidate is unable to access the IEP, the cooperating teacher may provide relevant information about IEP goals, modifications, and accommodations in the IEP. This is subject to approval by the principal or official designee.
- Flagging instances where identifying information still needs to be removed from an edTPA draft to ensure confidentiality
- Assisting candidates in understanding how to use the electronic platforms for models/programs using electronic uploading of candidate responses
- Arranging technical assistance for the video portion of the assessment

Unacceptable Forms of Candidate Support during the edTPA Process

Unacceptable Support During edTPA Drafting Process (including Planning) Includes:

- Editing a candidate's edTPA drafts prior to submission
- Offering critique of candidate edTPA drafts prior to submission for official scoring that provides specific, alternative responses
- Telling candidates which video clips or work samples to select for submission
- Uploading candidate edTPA responses (written responses or videotape entries) on public access social media websites or uploading them to the scoring platform for the candidate.

SCALE

Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, & Equity

2018 – 2019 edTPA Submission and Reporting Dates

edTPA scores for initial submissions and for full-assessment retakes are reported on the timelines indicated below. Please note the following:

- Candidates should submit their portfolio based on due dates established by their preparation program or licensure requirement.
- Candidates should plan to upload and review their files at least 5 days prior to their planned submission date.

| To Receive Your edTPA Score Profile On: | Submit Your Portfolio by 11:59 p.m. Pacific Time on: |
|--|---|
| October 25, 2018 | October 4, 2018 |
| November 15, 2018 | October 25, 2018 |
| November 29, 2018 | November 8, 2018 |
| December 13, 2018 | November 21, 2018 |
| December 27, 2018 | December 6, 2018 |
| January 10, 2019 | December 20, 2018 |
| February 21, 2019 | January 31, 2019 |
| March 7, 2019 | February 14, 2019 |
| March 21, 2019 | February 28, 2019 |
| April 4, 2019 | March 14, 2019 |
| April 18, 2019 | March 28, 2019 |
| May 2, 2019 | April 11, 2019 |
| May 16, 2019 | April 25, 2019 |
| May 30, 2019 | May 9, 2019 |
| June 13, 2019 | May 23, 2019 |
| June 27, 2019 | June 6, 2019 |
| August 8, 2019 | July 18, 2019 |
| August 22, 2019 | August 1, 2019 |
| September 5, 2019 | August 15, 2019 |

General

- Be sure to read the entire handbook before you begin in order to get a complete overview. Take notes.
- Read *Making Good Choice* and *Understanding Rubric Level Progressions*. They are available on D2L.
- Stay on track. Submit all tasks to your supervisor on time so you have time to make changes.
- Communicate with your CT and supervisor. Collaborate with your peers.
- Be specific in your commentaries. Rather than (some, I think, I might, maybe, sometimes, a few, use specifics “21 out of 30.”
- Show evidence for everything you write.

Prompts

- Read each prompt and follow it carefully. Use the wording in the prompt in your answer.
- Address all parts, even if it does not apply to you (e.g. There are no students with 504 plans). Long responses are better than short. Use Ariel 11 pt with 1” margins and write as many pages as are allowed.

Rubrics

- Each rubric corresponds to a specific section in each commentary. Read the prompt and look at the rubric. Write your response. Look at the rubric again. 3 is considered passing. Make sure your answer is a 3. Then look at the 4. Can you add something to bump it up? Look at the 2 to make sure you have gone above that. If there is and in the rubric, be sure you have included all parts.
- Citing and elaborating on research will often push you to a 4. Do it.
- The scorers use the rubrics to score your portfolio.

Task 1 Lesson plans and Planning Commentary

- Any lesson plan format may be used; however, you need to include the central focus, objectives, standards, materials, modifications and assessments.
- Write Task 1 in the future tense.
- Be very specific in your plans about modifications for all students mentioned in the Context for Learning.
- Be certain your assessments align with your objectives.

Task 2 Instruction Videos

- Read commentary prompts and rubrics before filming.
- Check video equipment before filming.
- Test video equipment for audio and visual before filming.
- Send home video forms to parents early in the semester.
- Plan your seating strategically for the video clips. Students without forms may not be shown in the videos.
- Tape every lesson – choose edTPA segments wisely – show student participation
- The video clips should show the scorer what your students can do
- Remove all identifying information before videotaping (id badges, things on the board, etc).
- Do not stop the video once the lesson has begun. Each video clip submitted must be a continuous video.

Task 2 Instruction Commentary

- Write in past tense (what you did)
- If you changed something in your lesson while teaching, address it in the commentary. The commentary is an opportunity for you to explain your instruction.
- If something went wrong, explain why it did and give suggestions to how you will teach differently next time

Task 3 Assessment

- Be very specific on how students performed. Write “8 of 20 students” not “some of the students.”
- Include a chart of the assessment results for the entire class but do not use student names.
- Be sure the assessment aligns with the objectives.
- Design assessments that ask students to apply what they have learned and not just list facts.

Here's what my Supervisor did that was helpful ...

1. Told us to print out the Handbook, Making Good Choices and Understanding Rubric Level Progressions so we could make notes in them when we worked on our portfolios
2. Used edTPA language in seminars
3. Provided examples of previous edTPA portfolios for us to analyze and discuss (portfolios are available to supervisors on Tk20)
4. Emphasized and insisted on alignment of standards, objectives and assessments in all of our lesson plans
5. Provided a clear and specific schedule with due dates for completing each of the tasks – and kept us on schedule
6. Put edTPA in perspective and helped us stay calm
7. Provided guidance for academic language and language function
8. Responded to emails and phone messages and answered edTPA questions – as allowed
9. Allowed time in seminars for peer-review of our commentaries
10. Attended edTPA work days with us and offered support
11. Reviewed submission requirements (evidence chart in Handbook) prior to uploading to Pearson
12. Reminded us to film every lesson in the edTPA learning segment and not stop the camera during the lesson
13. Helped us to understand how the scorer is using the rubrics to determine our scores