

Answers to short proofs, § 3.3, Part II

Note Title

2/24/2009

9 u, v are li. indep, A is nonsingular. Then Au, Av are li. indep

Proof. Let $\alpha Au + \beta Av = 0$

$$\therefore A(\alpha u + \beta v) = 0$$

$$\therefore \alpha u + \beta v = A^{-1}0 = 0$$

Since u, v are li. indep, $\therefore \alpha = \beta = 0$ QED

10 Au, Av are li. dep, A is nonsingular, then u, v are li. dep.

Proof. $\because Au, Av$ are li. dep

$$\therefore \exists \alpha, \beta, \text{ not both zero, s.t. } \alpha Au + \beta Av = 0$$

$$\therefore A(\alpha u + \beta v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha u + \beta v = A^{-1}0 = 0 \quad \text{QED}$$

11 Au, Av are li. indep. Then u, v are li. indep

Proof. Let $\alpha u + \beta v = 0$. Then $A(\alpha u + \beta v) = A0 = 0$

$$\therefore \alpha(Au) + \beta(Av) = 0$$

$\therefore \alpha = \beta = 0$ since Au, Av are li. indep.

12 see classnotes

13 Let u, v, w be li. dep., $x \in \langle u, v, w \rangle$. Then \exists infinitely many α, β, γ such that $x = \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$.

Proof. $\because x \in \langle u, v, w \rangle$

$$\therefore \exists \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0 \text{ s.t. } x = \alpha_0 u + \beta_0 v + \gamma_0 w.$$

$\because u, v, w$ are li. dep, $\therefore \exists \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$ s.t. $\alpha_1 u + \beta_1 v + \gamma_1 w = 0$

$$\therefore x = x + n \cdot 0 = (\alpha_0 u + \beta_0 v + \gamma_0 w) + n(\alpha_1 u + \beta_1 v + \gamma_1 w)$$

$$= (\alpha_0 + n\alpha_1)u + (\beta_0 + n\beta_1)v + (\gamma_0 + n\gamma_1)w$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

QED

14 If $\alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = \alpha' u + \beta' v + \gamma' w$ and $\alpha \neq \alpha'$
then u, v, w are li. dep.

Proof. $\because \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = \alpha' u + \beta' v + \gamma' w$

$$\therefore (\alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w) - (\alpha' u + \beta' v + \gamma' w) = 0$$

$$\therefore (\alpha - \alpha')u + (\beta - \beta')v + (\gamma - \gamma')w = 0$$

$\because \alpha \neq \alpha'$, $\therefore \alpha - \alpha' \neq 0$ $\therefore \alpha - \alpha', \beta - \beta', \gamma - \gamma'$ are
not all zero. Q.E.D.

Answers to proofs for § 3.4 Part I.

7 Let $x \in \langle u, v, w \rangle$. If \exists two choices of α, β, γ s.t.
 $x = \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$. Then (u, v, w) is not a basis.

Proof. Let $x = \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = \alpha' u + \beta' v + \gamma' w$
and $[\alpha, \beta, \gamma] \neq [\alpha', \beta', \gamma']$. Then

$$0 = x - x = (\alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w) - (\alpha' u + \beta' v + \gamma' w) \\ = (\alpha - \alpha')u + (\beta - \beta')v + (\gamma - \gamma')w$$

and $\alpha - \alpha', \beta - \beta', \gamma - \gamma'$ are not all zero.

$\therefore (u, v, w)$ are li. dep.

\therefore It is not a basis. Q.E.D.

8 Let (u, v, w) be a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 & A be nonsingular.
Then (Au, Av, Aw) is also a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof. We first prove Au, Av, Aw are li indep

Let $\alpha Au + \beta Av + \gamma Aw = 0$. Then

$$A(\alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w) = 0 \quad \therefore \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w = A^{-1}0 = 0$$

$\therefore \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ since (u, v, w) is a basis.

We then prove $\mathbb{R}^3 = \langle Au, Av, Aw \rangle$.

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \exists \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ s.t. } y = A^{-1}x = \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$$

since $\mathbb{R}^3 = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

$$\therefore x = Ay = \alpha(Au) + \beta(Av) + \gamma(Aw)$$

$$\therefore x \in \langle Au, Av, Aw \rangle$$

QED.

10 see classnotes

11 Let W be a subspace of V and $\dim(W) < \dim(V)$
Then \exists infinitely many $x \in V$ s.t. $x \notin W$.

Proof. Let (w_1, \dots, w_n) be a basis of $W \subset V$

$$\because \dim(W) < \dim(V)$$

\therefore We can expand (w_1, \dots, w_n) to

$(w_1, \dots, w_n, w_{n+1}, \dots, w_m)$ to a basis of V

Let $x = w_{n+1}$. Then $x \notin W$ otherwise

(w_1, \dots, w_n, x) is li. indep. in W , violating

Thm 3.4.9 (i).

$$\therefore x = w_{n+1}, 2w_{n+1}, 3w_{n+1}, \dots \notin W. \quad \text{QED.}$$